

6  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

Dated this the 4th Day of June 1998

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRASHEKARAIHAH

WRIT PETITION NO.40980/1995

Between:

- 4809
1. Shri.Vineet Hingarani,  
Major, Proprietor,  
Bangalore Motor Works,  
No.4/1, Ist Cross,  
Hosur Main Road,  
Opp: Lalbagh Veg. Society,  
Wilson Garden,  
Bangalore - 560 027.
  2. Smt.M.C.Jayalakshmi,  
Land Lord,  
No.4/1, Ist Cross,  
Hombegowda Nagar,  
Bangalore - 560 027.
  3. Shri Zafurulla,  
Major, Proprietor,  
Modern Soad Factory,  
No.2/2, Hosur Road,  
Wilson gardens,  
Bangalore - 560 027.
  4. Shri.Ramesh,  
Major,  
No.3/1, Ist Cross,  
Hombegowda Nagar,  
Bangalore - 560 027.
  5. Smt.M.C.Meenakshi,  
major,  
No.3/1, Ist Cross,  
Hombegowdanagar,  
Bangalore - 560 027.

490

6. Shri.V.G.Reddy,  
major,  
Proprietor,  
Embeete tyre Retreading  
Private Ltd.,  
C.V.R. Buildings,  
No.12, Hosur Road,  
Bangalore - 560 027.
7. Shri.Gopal Reddy,  
major,  
Proprietor,  
Sapthagiri High way Service,  
No.12/1, Hosur Road,  
Bangalore - 560 027.

...PETITIONERS

(By Sri.S.Rangaswamy, Adv.)

And:

1. The Housing and Urban  
Development Department,  
represented by its  
Secretary, Government  
of Karnataka,  
Vidhana Soudha, Dr.  
Ambedkar Veedhi,  
Bangalore - 1
2. The Commissioner,  
Bangalore Mahanagara Palike,  
Bangalore City.
3. Shri.Pandiyan,  
Father's name not known  
to the petitioners,  
major, R/o.Amjaneya Slum,  
Hombegowda Nagar,  
Bangalore - 560 027.

...RESPONDENTS

(By Sri.H.J.Sundar Kumar and  
Sri.B.V.Muralidhar, Adv. for R-2)

. . . . .

This Writ Petition is filed under Article  
226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer

to direct R-1 and 2 to take necessary and suitable action as provided for under the law to remove/evict the R3 from encroachment/squatting/occupying the public road called the service road, Hombegowda Nagar, which commences from Hosur Main road at South connects to siddaiah and Double road at North, 1st Cross, Hombegowdanagar road etc.,

This Writ Petition coming on for orders this day, the Court made the following:-

O\_R\_D\_E\_R

This case was listed for order for payment of process on 11.03.1998. On that day, this Court has granted one week's time to pay process. In spite of the grant of time, the petitioner has not paid the process. Therefore, again the matter was listed for orders on 15.04.1998. On 15.04.1998 also this Court has granted one week's time to pay the process as a last chance. In spite of the said order, the petitioner has not paid the process. Therefore, this Writ Petition is listed for orders to-day. In spite of the grant of time by this Court on earlier occasions the petitioner has not paid the process. This

h

492 ✓

shows that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the writ petition. Therefore, this petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Sd/-  
JUDGE



sps/-